

Republic of the Philippines BUREAU OF AGRICULTURAL AND FISHERIES ENGINEERING

SRA Compound, Annex II Building Ext, North Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City (02) 8351-8120, (02) 8294-9741

AFD-PROC-MEMO-25-01-09

January 28, 2025

MEMORANDUM

TO

ALL DIVISIONS

(AFD, EPDSD, OD/OAD, PPMD, PKMDD, SEPPD/FMRDP & SRED)

ATTENTION:

PROCUREMENT COORDINATORS

FROM

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

SUBJECT

SUBMISSION OF SUPPLIER'S PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SHEET (QF-AFD-QOP02-01)

As part of BAFE's commitment to effectively implement its quality management system, all procuring units are enjoined to evaluate the performance of their suppliers for every activity conducted. The evaluation form can be accessed through this link https://bit.ly/3EeVOBd.

Please note that the criteria for evaluation can only be assessed after the acceptance of the delivery of the items/services procured. Your feedback and inputs will serve as a reference for future procurement requirements.

Deadline of submission will be every first week of the following month (monthly). However, the submission of the SPE Sheet for the Food Provision and Lease of Venue is right after the conduct of the activity.

For any queries or clarifications, please coordinate with the Procurement Section at bafe.procurement@gmail.com or through messenger.

For your compliance.

Thank you.

BALDWIN G. JALLORINA, Ph.D.
Director IV

AJB. PROCUREMENT





- 4 *,



Company Name:

Republic of the Philippines

BUREAU OF AGRICULTURAL AND FISHERIES ENGINEERING

SRA Compound, Annex II Building Ext., North Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City QF-AFD-QOP02-01 Rev 00 August 20, 2024 Page 1 of 3

Addre	ess:						
Conta	ct Person:						
I	ct Number:						
	ces Rendered:						
	act/PO/WO Number:						
Event	/Activity:		Date of Event/Activity:				
Note:	Result of this evaluation will become the basis of the Bids and Awards Committee (BAC) in deciding whether the supplier's are worth the contract awarded or not. A rating of and below for two(2) consecutive contracts in spite of their knowledge of the feedbacks, will be a ground for BAC to exclude the supplier in future bidding. 5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Fair 1 - Poor						
	1. LOCATION AND SITE CONDITION						
NO.	CRITERIA	WEIGHT	RATING	WEIGHTED RATING			
1	Flexibility (25)			W DIGITIDO RATING			
2	Cleanliness (25)						
3	Food (20)						
4	Manpower Service(20)						
5	Response to Complaints (10)						
	TOTAL SCORE						
	OVER-ALL RATING [TOTAL (AxB)/100]						
	NOMINAL RATING						
Comments/Remarks:							
Evaluated by:							
	Name						
	Designation						
Office/Division							

SUPPLIER'S PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SHEET

Supplier's Performance
Evaluation
(Lease of Venue)

RATING FACTORS								
RATING	FLEXIBILITY (25)	CLEANLINESS (25)	FOOD (20)	MANPOWER SERVICE (20)	RESPONSE TO COMPLAINTS (10)			
5	Venue is always available when needed. Offering mutliple flexible time slot and can handle last minute requests or changes.	Th venue is spotless. Rooms, restrooms, floors, walls, windows, other common place, and even high touch surfaces are cleaned regularly and mainained to highest standards. The cleanliness is noticeable as soon as you enter.	The food is gourmet-quality, expertly prepared with fresh ingredients, and consistently delicious. It may include unique, creative dishes and offers a range options catering to diverse tastes and dietary preference or requirements.	Staff is exceptional, proactove, and highly traineed. They go above and beyond to meet needs, with seamless service and strong attention to detail. They handle requests quickly and efficiently with a positive attitude.	Complaints are addressed immediatelt and resolved thoroughly. The venue takes proactive steps to prevent future issues and may offer compensation or additional services to ensure the guest is satisfied			
4	Generally willing to adjts plans, accommodate requests, and offer solutions that align with client's needs. There are very few restrictions.	The venue is well-maintained and cleaned frequently. The restrooms are consistently stocked, and any public areas are wiped down regularly. Only minor touch-ups might be needed.	The food is fresh, flavorful, and well- prepared. It meets dietary preference or requirements and is enjoyed by most of the guests. There migh be a few standout dishes.	Staff is professional, friendly, and attentive, generally proviiding high-quality service. There may be occasional lapses in efficiency or attention, but nothing that significantly detracts from the experience.	Complaints are responded to promptly, and efforts are made to resolve the issue, usually to the satisfaction of the customer. There may be occasionally follow-up to ensure everything is handled correctly.			
3	The venue can accommodate several requests with few limitations, but certan aspects may still require additional costs or approval.	The venue is relatively clean with only minor areas needing attention, but overall acceptable for guests. The cleanliness is not remarkable but meets expectations.	The food is good, though not extraordinary. It satisfies the guests but lack some of the variety, freshness, or creativity might expect for the price.	Service is generall decent but not particularly outstanding. The staff is friendly enough but may lack attention to detail or efficiency at times.	Complaints are acknowledged and dealt with, but not always in timely manner. The resolution may not fully satisfactory, but the venue tries to address the issue.			
2	Some flexibility, but only limited number of requests. Additional charges may apply, and there are clear restrictions on what can or cannot be altered.	The venue is generally not up to	The food might lack freshness or flavor, possibly with few options for guests with dietary restrictions. Some food may feel like they have been prepared in advance without consideration for quality.	Service is inconsistent and may be slow, with some staff members not fulfilling requests properly or courteously. There may be not noticeable gaps in professionalism or training.	The response to complaints is slow. Issues may not fully addressed or resolved, there is a little effort made to ensre satisfaction.			
1	requests are denied or require	maintained, there are concerns about hygiene and safety. Cleaning services are neglected or	The food may be overcooked, undecooked, or have strange flavors. There may be issues with food safety (such as undercooked meats or spoiled ingredients).	The staff is unprofessional, disnterested, or rude. There is lack of coordination or failure to meet basic service expectations. Requests are ignored.	Complaints are comletely ignored, and there is no follow-up or attempt to resolve the issue. The customer is left feeling unimportant.			

Legend:

Outstanding	5	
Very Satisfactory	4	3.5-4.0
Satisfactory	3	2.5-3.4
Fair	2	1.5-2.4
Poor	1	1.0-1.4

EXTERNAL PROVIDER OVERALL PERFORMANCE RATING:

Outstanding (5) - External Providers have the capability to produce and deliver according to the technical specification and are able to deliver the goods on time without complaints.

Very Satisfactory (3.5-4.0) - External Providers have the capability to produce and deliver according to the technical specifications and are able to deliver the goods on time.

 $Satisfactory \ (2.5-3.4)-External \ providers \ have the \ capability \ to \ produce \ and \ deliver \ the \ goods \ but \ needs \ minor \ improvements.$

Fair (1.5-2.4) – External providers have met the minimum requirements.

Poor (1.0-1.4) - External providers failed to meet the requirements/specifications as stated in the contract.

Remarks:

If the external provider fails in the performance evaluation (fails on the fair and poor category), the external provider will be removed from the list of Accredited External Providers for three (3) months and subject for delisting once compliant to the rules provided under the Revised IRR of the RA 9184